COUNCIL AGENDA: JULY 15, 2014

PUBLIC HEARING

SUBJECT:

LANDSCAPE & LIGHTING DISTRICTS ANNUAL ASSESSMENTS

SOURCE:

PARKS AND LEISURE SERVICES DEPARTMENT

COMMENT:

The City has created sixty-four Landscape and Lighting Maintenance Districts (LLMDs) since 1989. The original District had seventeen annexed areas for new developments in addition to the original area. Since 1996 new Districts have been formed for each new development, representing Districts 2 through 46.

Annually, a process of evaluating maintenance needs and establishing an assessment for each LLMD must be followed. At the June 17, 2014 meeting, the City Council preliminarily approved the annual Engineer's Report, which indicates the proposed assessments for the 2014-2015 Fiscal Year. The assessments, once approved by the City Council, are placed upon the tax bill of property owners as a special assessment, and collected by the County of Tulare. The assessment process must be fully filed with the County by August 11, 2014 in order to be placed upon the tax rolls.

The Engineer's Report provides the financial detail on each district. In an effort to better facilitate the needs of the district, staff has been analyzing fund balances and deficits of each district as well as projected expenditures and reserves. Based on the analysis, staff was able to determine that not all districts will be assessed this fiscal year. These fund balances will be reviewed on a yearly basis to determine funding needs for maintenance, as well as determining requirements. Staff also continues to look at more district consolidation possibilities like the recent Porter Creek (A3), Creekview (D6), and North Creek (D2) consolidation that was passed at the April 15, 2014 City Council meeting.

<u>M</u>Director <u>M</u>Appropriated/Funded <u>A</u>City Manager ITEM NO.: <u>20</u>

RECOMMENDATIONS: That the City Council:

- Conduct a public hearing on the 2014-2015 assessments for the Landscape and Lighting Maintenance Districts to take comments or receive protests on the proposed assessments; and
- 2. Adopt the Resolution ordering the continued maintenance of Landscape and Lighting Maintenance Districts and confirming the Engineer's Report and Assessments for the 2014-2015 Fiscal Year.

ATTACHMENTS: Resolution

Engineer's Report

RESOLUTION NO.: -2014

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PORTERVILLE ORDERING THE CONTINUED MAINTENANCE OF LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICTS AND CONFIRMING THE ENGINEER'S REPORT AND ASSESSMENT FOR 2014-2015 FISCAL YEAR

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Porterville did on the 17th day of June, 2014 adopt its Resolution of Intention No. 36-2014 to order the therein described work in connection with the continuation of assessment procedures in Landscape and Lighting Maintenance Districts, which Resolution of Intention No. 36-2014 was duly and legally published in the time, form and manner as required by Law, shown by the Affidavit of Publication of said Resolution of Intention on file in the Office of the City Clerk; and

WHEREAS, said City Council having duly received and considered evidence, oral and documentary, concerning the jurisdiction facts in the proceeding and concerning the necessity for the contemplated work and the benefits to be derived there from, and said City Council having now acquired jurisdiction to order the proposed work;

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Porterville as follows:

SECTION 1. IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Porterville that the public interest and convenience require the continuation of assessment procedures for the districts, and said City Council hereby orders that the work and assessment, as set forth and described in said Resolution of Intention No. 36-2014 be done and made; and

SECTION 2. **BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the report filed by the Engineer is hereby finally approved; and

SECTION 3. **BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the assessments for fiscal year 2014-2015 and method of assessment in the Engineer's Report are hereby approved; and

SECTION 4. **BE IT FINALLY RESOLVED** that the assessments are in compliance with California Code, that they are without regard to property valuation, and in compliance with Chapter 1, Article 4, and Chapter 3, Division 15 of the Streets and Highway Code allowing the placement of the charge on the tax roll for collection.

APPROVED, PASSED AND ADOPTED this 15th day of July, 2014

	Milt Stowe, Mayor	
ATTEST: John D. Lollis, City Clerk		
By:	-	

CITY OF PORTERVILLE, CALIFORNIA ENGINEER'S REPORT FOR LANDSCAPE AND LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICTS 2014-2015 FISCAL YEAR

SECTION 1. Authority for Report

This report is prepared by order of the City Council of the City of Porterville, Resolution Number. The report is in compliance with the requirements of Chapter 1, Article 4, and Chapter 3, Division 15 of the Streets and Highways Code, State of California (Landscaping and Lighting Act of 1972).

SECTION 2. General Description

The City Council has heretofore elected to place the permanent landscape area along Westwood Street of Westwood Estates, Unit 1, 2, and 3 subdivisions, into Landscape and Lighting Maintenance District No. 1 and to annex the permanent landscape areas along the perimeter streets of the following developments:

- 1. Annexation No. 2 = Hillcrest Street right-of-way, fire access road, Jasmine Drive entries; west perimeter including the parcel on which the water tank is located, viewpoint look-out parcel at the northwest corner of Jasmine Ranch Subdivision, and the pedestrian access to each cul-de-sac from Hillcrest Street.
- 2. Annexation No. 3 = Porter Creek Avenue right-of-way to the center line of the Porter Slough, median entry, 15' landscape area between Porter Creek Subdivision block wall to Westwood Street, the pedestrian access bridge over Porter Slough and all of the maintenance area to the center line of Porter Slough.
- 3. Annexation No. 4 = LaVida Park Subdivision green belt, east on Plum Way Street and the entries east along Beverly Street
- 4. Annexation No. 5 = Westwood Estates #4 Subdivision, along the north and south entries adjacent to the block wall on Westwood Street and the median divider on White Chapel Lane including all trees in front yard planting strip.
- 5. Annexation No. 14 = Wisconsin Manor I Subdivision located on the corner of Wisconsin Way and Mulberry Avenue.
- 6. District No. 2 = North Creek Estates Located on Westwood north of Westfield.
- 7. District No. 4 = River Springs Phase 1 Subdivision located along Newcomb Street.
- 8. District No. 5 = Castle Woods Phase II Subdivision located at Median Avenue and Salisbury.
- 9. District No. 6 = Creekview Estates located between Porter Creek Avenue and the property line in Porter Slough.
- 10. District No. 12 = Westwood Estates, Unit 5, Phase 2, located on Henderson Avenue and Brandy Way.
- 11. District No. 18 = Ohio North Subdivision located on Ohio Way Street.
- 12. District No. 20 = West View Place Subdivision located on Median Avenue.

- 13. District No. 24 = Orchard Ridge, Phase Eight Subdivision located on Mathew Street, Michael Street, Pamela Avenue and Santa Maria Avenue.
- 14. District No. 31 = Williams Ranch, Phase 2 and 3 Subdivision on Westwood Street between Henderson and Westfield Avenues.
- 15. District No. 35 = Meadow Breeze, Phase 1 Subdivision located on Pioneer Avenue and Salisbury Street.

SECTION 3. Plans and Specifications

The plans and specifications for the landscaping have been prepared by the developers' engineers and have been approved as part of the improvement plans for the various developments. The plans and specifications for the landscaping are in conformance with the requirements of the City Council's conditions of approval of said Parcel Maps and Subdivisions.

Reference is hereby made to said subdivision maps, parcel maps and assessment diagrams for the exact location of the landscape areas. The plans and specifications by reference are hereby made a part of this report to the same extent as if said plans and specifications were attached hereto.

SECTION 4. Improvements

Landscaping improvements will include landscaping the entry ways, medians and areas behind subdivision block wall.

SECTION 5. Estimated Maintenance Costs

Maintenance is currently being performed by City staff and contract services. Accordingly, the City's record-keeping will be required to be sufficiently accurate to detail the expenses incurred on behalf of each individual annexation so that these costs may be recaptured through assessments.

The City Finance Department presently maintains records of expenditures for each annexation. Because of the restrictions placed upon municipal budgets through the passage of Proposition No. 218 and the lag between the time assessments are made and revenues are collected by the City, it is appropriate that assessments be made in advance of the anticipated expenditures to provide working capital for the maintenance effort. The fund balance for some districts would appear to justify a refund, however, it is clear that some of the costs for some of the districts have not been properly recognized. The staff is committed to identifying the discrepancies and rectifying during this fiscal year. Refunds have been included in the form of one-time adjustments to the assessment reducing the amount actually assessed per lot.

The assessments include costs accumulated to date and estimated costs for the 2014-2015 fiscal year for Landscape and Lighting District No. 1, including Annexations 2 through 5, and 14 and Districts 2, 4, 5, 6, 12, 18, 20, 24, 31 and 35.

SECTION 6. Assessment Diagram

Copies of the assessment diagrams were attached to each individual Engineer's Report and were labeled "Exhibit A". An Index Map is attached to this report identifying the location of the original district and each annexation.

SECTION 7. Assessment

The City Council, in forming Landscape and Lighting Maintenance District No. 1 and in annexing territories to the district, has maintained the philosophy that the subdivider or developer is responsible for the plantings, irrigation system and the maintenance of the improvements until they become well established. The assessments for maintenance thus only include anticipated costs incurred subsequent to the acceptance of the system by the City Council on behalf of the Maintenance District.

An exception to this philosophy is at Annexation No. 1, Airport Industrial Park, where the owners and the City will share costs for the plantings and irrigation system and the maintenance of improvements.

The maintenance of the landscaping is vital for the protection of both economic and humanistic values of the development. The City Council has heretofore determined that for the preservation of values incorporated within developments adjacent to landscaped areas, the landscaped areas should be included in a maintenance district to ensure satisfactory levels of maintenance. The establishment of the assessment for each development must be on a unit by unit basis which will preserve the integrity of each project. There should be a review of each annexation and District to determine if there are changed conditions that effect the assessment.

The determination of benefit for the lots within the districts takes into consideration the facts for the original districts and all annexations thereto.

Following are estimated maintenance costs and assessments for each District and Annexation.

Westwood Estates - Districts 1, 12 and Annexation 5 2014-2015 Fiscal Year Maximimum Assessment \$1,001.39

Estimated Accumulated Costs 2013-2014

\$ 21,394.29

Maintenance: lighting: Project Management, Fund Balance:	\$	47,462 207 3,747.06	sq.ft. lots	of landscaping	area	
					For	mula
1. Landscape/Tree Maintenance		47,462	@	0.17 =	\$	8,068.54
2. Graffiti Maintenance		47,462		0.02 =	\$	949.24
3. Postage		47,462	@ @ @	0.005 =	\$	237.31
4. Utilities		47,462	$\overset{\smile}{a}$	0.12 =	\$	5,695.44
5. Printing		47,462	a,	0.005 =	\$	237.31
6. Repair/Maintenance		47,462	<u>@</u>	0.02 =	\$	949.24
-				\$ 0.34	\$	16,137.08
Maintenance		47,462	x	0.34 =	\$	16,137.08
Administrative Fee	\$	16,137.08	x	\$0.10 =	\$	1,613.71
County Fee		207 lots	@	1.00/per lot	\$	207.00
Reserves	15%	% of total cost	of ma	aintenance	\$	2,420.56
Eliminate Deficit Fund Balance	over	10 years - 10	0% of	fund deficit	\$	**
					\$	20,378.35
Estimate	\$	98.44				
Current Assessment \$ 20,378.35 207						98.44
1	New	Assessment		0,378.35 = 207	\$	98.44

Annex. 3 Porter Creek, Dist. 2 North Creek, Dist. 6 Creekview Fiscal Year 2014-2015

Estimated Accumulated Costs 2013-2014

\$ 27,728.08

Maintenance: 125,477

Project Management: 297 lots, lighting 5 lumens @ 5,800

Fund Balance: \$ 19,562.97

Maintenance

1. Landscape/Tree Maintenance	125,477	@\$	0.125 =	\$ 16,939.40
2. Grafitti Maintenance	125,477	@\$	0.02 =	\$ 1,254.77
3. Postage	125,477	@\$	0.005 =	\$ 627.39
4. Utilities	125,477	@\$	0.06 =	\$ 7,528.62
5. Printing	125,477	@\$	0.005 =	\$ 627.39
6. Repair/Maintenance	125,477	@\$	0.01 =	\$ 1,254.77
			0.225 =	\$ 28,232.33

Maintenance	x		125,477		0.225 =	\$ 28,232.33
Administrative	<u>@</u>	\$	28,232.33	x	\$0.10 =	\$ 2,823.23
County Fee	@		297 lots	@\$1.0	00/per lot =	\$ 297.00
Reserves 15% of total cos	st of main	tenance	•		******	\$ 4,234.84
Eliminate Deficit Fund Ba	alance ove	er 10 ye	ears - 10% of fu	nd defici	t =	 0
					Total	\$ 35,587.40

Annexation No. 2 - Jasmine Ranch Fiscal Year 2013-2014 Maximimum Assessment \$8,000.00

Estimated Accumulated Costs 2013-2014				\$		4,	546.59	
Maintenance: Project Management: Fund Balance:	\$	22,100 22 4,156.99	sq.ft lots	. of lar	ndscapin	ig area		
1. Landscape		22,100	@	\$	0.190			\$ 4,199.00
2. Graffiti Maintenance		22,100	<u>@</u>	\$	0.030			\$ 663.00
3. Tree Maintenance		22,100	<u>@</u>	\$	0.160	===		\$ 3,536.00
4. Postage		22,100	@	\$	0.005	=		\$ 110.50
5. Utilities		22,100	@	\$	0.040	-		\$ 884.00
6. Printing		22,100	@	\$	0.005	===		\$ 110.50
7. Repair/Maintenance		22,100	@	\$	0.020	===		\$ 442.00
				\$	0.450			\$ 9,945.00
Maintenance		22,100	x	\$	0.45			\$ 9,945.00
Administrative Fee	\$	9,945.00	X	\$0.10)			\$ 994.50
County Fee		22 lots	x	\$1.00)/per lot			\$ 22.00
Reserves 15% of total cost of r	na	intenance						\$ 1,491.75
Eliminate deficit fund balance	οv	er 10 years	- 10%	% of fu	ınd defic	eit		\$ -
								\$ 12,453.25

FORMULA FOR ASSESSMENT

A = Assessment per lot = Total Assessment - F

L = Number of lots L

F = Assessment for remainder parcel

The 2014-2014 assessment will be spread based upon the above formula. There are 22 lots in Unit One. The 47.89 acre remainder parcel is included on the final subdivision map and will be assessed 20% of the total estimated cost for landscaping maintenance until it is developed at which time it will be combined with the other completed units and assessed fully.

Estimated Assessment
$$F = \$12,453.25 \times .20 = \$2,490.85$$
 for remainder $A = \$12,453.25-\$2,490.85 = \$452.84$ per lot in Unit One Current Assessment $F = \$8,000 \times .20 = \$1,600.00$ for remainder $A = \$,000-1600 = \290.92 per lot in Unit One

New Assessment $F = \$8,000 \times .20 = \$1,600.00$ for remainder

A = 8,000-1600 = \$290.92 per lot in Unit One

Annexation No. 4 - La Vida Park Fiscal Year 2014-2015 Maximimum Assessment \$2,469.42

Estimated Accumulated	Costs	2013-2014	
Estimatea Accumutatea	Cosis	2013-2014	

\$ 1,375.37

Maintenance: Project Management, Fund Balance:	3,790 17 1,720.56	sq.ft. of la	ndscapin	ig area, 43	7 fe	et of wall
 Landscape Graffiti Maintenance Tree Maintenance Postage Utilities Printing Repair/Maintenance 	3,790 3,790 3,790 3,790 3,790 3,790 3,790	@ \$ \$ @ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	0.190 0.090 0.150 0.020 0.250 0.020 0.030		\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	720.10 341.10 568.50 75.80 947.50 75.80 113.70 2,842.50
Maintenance Administrative Fee \$ County Fee Reserves 15% of total cost of m Eliminate Deficit Fund Balance		x \$ x \$0.10 @ \$1.00/p ars - 10% c		= eficit	\$ \$ \$	2,842.50 284.25 17.00 426.38 - 3,570.13
Estimated Cost Per Y		\$ 2	3,570.13 .7 2,469.42 .7	=	\$ \$	210.01 145.26
New Assessment		THE R. P. LEWIS CO., LANSING, MICH.	2,469.42 7	=	\$	145.26

Annexation No. 14 - Wisconsin Manor 1 Subdivision Fiscal Year 2014-2015 Maximimum Assessment \$1,824.69

Estimated Accumulated Costs	2013-2014	\$	1,038.18
Maintenance: Project Management, Fund Balance: \$	3,030 8 (2,798.12)	sq.ft. of landscaping area lots	

1. Landscape	3,030	@\$	0.190	=	\$	575.70
2. Graffiti Maintenance	3,030	<u>@</u> \$	0.090	*****	\$	272.70
3. Tree Maintenance	3,030	@ \$	0.150	==	\$	454.50
4. Postage	3,030	@ \$	0.020	===	\$	60.60
5. Utilities	3,030	@ \$	0.250	=	\$	757.50
6. Printing	3,030	@ \$	0.020	=	\$	60.60
7. Repair/Maintenance	3,030	@_\$_	0.030	=	\$_	90.90
		\$	0.750	===	\$	2,272.50

Maintenance		3030	x	\$	0.750 =	\$ 2,272.50
Administrative Fee	\$	2,272.50	x		\$0.10	\$ 227.25
County Fee		8 lots		@\$	1.00/per lot	\$ 8.00
Reserves	15%	of total co	st c	of ma	intenance	\$ 340.88
Eliminate Deficit Fund B	alance	over 10 yea	rs -	109	% of fund deficit	\$ 279.81
						\$ 3,128.44

Estimated Cost Per Year
$$\frac{$3,128.44}{8} = $391.05$$

Current Assessment $\frac{$1,824.69}{8} = 228.09

New Assessment $\frac{$1,824.69}{8} = 228.09

District No. 4 - River Springs Phase 1 Subdivision Approved CPI 2003 (Adjusted 2003) Maximum Assessment \$3,134.58

Estimated.	Accumu	lated	Caste	2013-	2014
esumaiea.	ACCUMU	шеа	COSIS	ZU13-	ZU14

\$ 1,124.19

Maintenance: Project Management, Fund Balance:	2,100 51 \$ 730.64	sq. ft. of landscaping lots	g area			
				Fo.	rmula	
1. Landscape	2,100	@ 0.38		\$	798.00	- /sf
2. Graffiti Maintenance	2,100			\$	189.00	
3. Tree Maintenance	2,100	 @ 0.09 @ 0.152 @ 0.025 @ 0.25 @ 0.09 	=	\$	319.20	
4. Postage	2,100	@ 0.025	=	\$	52.50	
5. Utilities	2,100	@ 0.25	_	\$	525.00	
6. Printing	2,100	<u>@</u> 0.09	=	\$	189.00	/sf
7. Repair/Maintenance	2,100	<u>@</u> 0.03	=	\$	63.00	/sf
•	,	1.017	=	\$:	2,135.70	/sf
Maintenance Administrative Fee County Fee Reserves Eliminate Deficit Fund Balan	2,100 sq.f \$ 2,135.70 51 lots 15% of total cost ce over 10 years -	@ 10% @ 1.00/per lo	=	\$ \$ \$	2,135.70 213.57 51.00 320.36 	-
Estimated Cost Per	Year: \$	2,720.63 = 51		\$	53.34	•
Current Assessme	ent S	2,719.14 = 51		\$	53.31	
New Assessmen	t \$	2,720.63 = 51		\$	53.31	

District No. 5 Castle Woods Phase 2 Subdivision Fiscal Year 2014-2015

Maximimum Assessment \$1,021.48 Approved CPI 2002 (Adjusted 2008)

Estimated Accumulated Costs 2013-2014

Estimated Accumulated Costs 20	13-2014				\$		1,312.69
Maintenance: Project Management: Fund Balance: \$	1,715 25 lots (3,541.46)	,	ft.	of landscap	oing area		
 Landscape Graffiti Maintenance Tree Maintenance Postage Utilities Printing Repair/Maintenance 	1,715 1,715 1,715 1,715 1,715 1,715 1,715	@@@@@@	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	0.190 0.090 0.150 0.020 0.250 0.020 0.030 0.750	= = = = = =	\$ \$ \$ \$	325.85 154.35 257.25 34.30 428.75 34.30 51.45 1,286.25
Maintenance Administrative Fee \$ County Fee Reserves 15% of total cost of mai Eliminate deficit fund balance ove		x x x		0.75 0.10 00/per lot of fund defi	= cit	\$ \$ \$ \$	771.75 128.63 19.00 192.94 354.14 1,466.45
Estimated Con	st Per Year Assessment	•	\$ \$	1,466.45 25 1,004.41	= - =	\$	58.66 40.18
	Assessment	•	\$	25 1,004.41	==	\$	40.18

25

District No. 18 - Ohio North Subdivision Fiscal Year 2014-2015 Maximimum Assessment \$190.26

Estimated Accumulated			\$			119.74		
Maintenance: lighting Project Management: Fund Balance:	2 10 \$ (2		@ 5,80 ots	0 lumens	eac	h		
 Utilities Postage Printing 	2 @ 5,800 l 10 1	(d	@ 5 @ @	\$104.16 \$1.00 \$60.00	=	each	\$ \$ \$	208.32 10.00 60.00 278.32
Maintenance Administrative Fee County Fee Reserves 15% of total co Eliminate deficit fund ba	\$ 2 10 lot est of mainter	s >	x x 1.00	1 0.10 D/per lot of fund de	= eficit	\$0.10 \$1.00	\$ \$ \$ \$	278.32 27.83 10.00 41.75 20.18 378.08
Estima	nted Cost Pe	r Year	\$	378.08 10	=		\$	37.81
C	urrent Asses	ssment	\$	190.26 10	=		\$	19.02
	New Asses	ssment	\$	190.26 10			\$	19.02

District No. 20 - West View Place Subdivision Fiscal Year 2014-2015

Maximimum Assessment \$280.32

Estimated Accumulated Costs 2013-2014				\$					180.04
Maintenance: lighting Project Management: Fund Balance:	3 1 10 \$ 224.	11	_	9,5	00 lumens 00 lumens				
 Utilities Postage Printing 	3 @ 5,800 lume 1 @ 9,500 lume \$ 437.:	ens 54	@ @	\$ \$	104.160 125.060 0.024 0.150	=	each each	\$ \$ \$	312.48 125.06 10.50 65.63 513.67
Maintenance Administrative Fee County Fee Reserves 15% of total co Eliminate deficit fund bal		67 e			1 0.10 00/per lot of fund de	= ficit		\$ \$ \$ \$	513.67 51.37 10.00 77.05 - 652.09
Estima	ated Cost Per Yo	ear	•	\$	652.09 10	<u>=</u>		\$	65.21
C	urrent Assessme	ent	#	\$	265.74 10			\$	26.56
	New Assessme	ent	•	\$	265.74 10	=		\$	26.56

District No. 24 - Orchard Ridge Phase 8 Fiscal Year 2014-2015 Maximimum Assessment \$2,607.14

Maximinum Assessment \$2,007.19

Estimatea Accumulatea	Cosis	2013-2014

\$

2,872.32

Maintenance:	3 538	sa	fì	of landsca	ning area				
Project Management:	, , ,								
	(11,016.90)								
	(==,=====								
1. Landscape	3,538	@	\$	0.190	==	\$	672.22		
2. Graffiti Maintenance	3,538	@	\$	0.090	=	\$	318.42		
3. Tree Maintenance	3,538	@	\$	0.150		\$	530.70		
4. Postage	3,538	@	\$	0.020	==	\$	70.76		
5. Utilities	3,538	@	\$	0.250	===	\$	884.50		
6. Printing	3,538	@	\$	0.020		\$	70.76		
7. Repair/Maintenance	3,538	@	\$	0.030	=	\$	106.14		
		•	\$	0.750	=	\$	2,653.50		
Maintenance	3,538	X		0.75		\$	1,592.10		
Administrative Fee \$	2,653.50	X		0.10		\$	265.35		
County Fee	44 lots	X	1.6	00/per lot		\$	44.00		
Reserves 15% of total cost of mai						\$	398.02		
Eliminate deficit fund balance over	er 10 years -	10	% (of fund def	ficit	\$	1,101.69		
						\$	3,401.16		
Estimated Cost Per Year \$ 3,401.16 = \$ 77.30									
Current .	Assessment		\$	2,607.14 44	=	\$	59.26		
New A	Assessment	•	\$	2,607.14 44	=	\$	59.26		

District No. 31 Williams Ranch Phase 2 & 3 Subdivision Fiscal Year 2014-2015

Approved CPI 2006 (Adjusted 2006)

Maximimum Assessment \$2,303.51

Estimated Accumulated Cos	<i>14</i> \$			4,093.47		
Maintenance: Lighting: Project Management, Fund Balance: \$	6,512 24 85 (7,130.43)	ea @		aping area, mens, 2 ea.		eet of wall ,000 lumens
 Landscape Graffiti Maintenance Tree Maintenance Postage Utilities Printing Repair/Maintenance 	6,512 6,512 6,512 6,512 6,512 6,512 6,512	@ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	0.190 0.025 0.130 0.010 0.080 0.010 0.040 0.485		\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,237.28 162.80 846.56 65.12 520.96 65.12 260.48 3,158.32
Maintenance Administrative Fee \$ County Fee Reserves 15% of total cost of m Eliminate Deficit Fund Balance		x \$ x \$ @ \$ ars - 10	0.485 0.10 1.00 0% of fu	= nd deficit	\$ \$ \$ \$	3,158.32 315.83 85.00 473.75 713.04 4,745.94
Estimated Cos	st Per Year	\$ 4	4,745.94 85	=	\$	55.83
Current A	Assessment	\$ 2	2,303.85 85	=	\$	27.10
New A	Assessment	\$ 2	2,303.85 85	=	\$	27.10

District 35 - Meadow Breeze Ph. 2 Fiscal Year 2014-2015 Maximimum Assessment \$1,001.39

Estimated	Accumulate	ed Costs	2013-2014	
Loumuncu	Λ	$\omega \cup \omega$	401J-401T	

\$ 749.67

Maintenance: lighting: Project Management, Fund Balance:	9 lum \$	ens @ 44 279.18		5,80 lots	00 each			
		Unit					Form	ula
1 Utilities	91	umens	@	\$	104.16		\$	937.44
2 Postage		44	@	\$	1.00	=	\$	44.00
3 Printing/Copying		1	@	\$	100.00	*****	\$	100.00
							\$	1,081.44
Maintenance	1	,081	x	1			\$	1,081.44
Administrative Fee		1,081.44	х	\$	0.10		\$	108.14
County Fee	4	4 lots	(a)		\$1.00		\$	44.00
Reserves 15% of total cost of maintenance							\$	162.21
Eliminate Deficit Fund Balance over 10 years - 10% of fund deficit							\$	-
							\$	1,395.79

Estimated Cost Per Year	\$ 1,395.79		\$ 31.72
	44		
Current Assessment	\$ 844.08 44	=	\$ 19.18
New Assessment	\$ 844.08	=	\$ 19.18
	44		

This concludes the 2014-2015 Landscape and Lighting District Engineer's Report. Baldomero Rodriguez, RCE 45304

